



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/257, 506 02/25/99 MATSUMURA

T 50073-019

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY
600 13TH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005

WM02/1003

EXAMINER

NELSON, A
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2675
DATE MAILED:

10/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

	Application No. 09/257,506	Applicant(s) Matsumura et al.
	Examiner Alesia Nelson	Art Unit 2675

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 25, 1999.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 20) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been made of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings filed on 08/25/99 are objected to because of problems addressed in the attached "Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948. Correction is required.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(1). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Art Unit: 2675

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. ***Claims 1-8*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for disclosing, with reference to conventional art “a time lag by a half frequency of the clock output signal (by a period “H” or a period “L”) from an active edge of the clock output signal serving as an edge for latching in the source driver IC”, does not reasonably provide enablement for a part of the limitation which is disclosed in claims 1 and 5, which states “a time lag one another”. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to how the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. ***Claims 2-4 and 6-8*** are rejected for being dependent on a rejected base claim.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2675

7. **Claims 9-12** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation stating "...each transfer is performed with a time lag **little by little** for each bit unit formed of plural bits...", in claim 9 is indefinite and doesn't distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. **Claims 10-12** are rejected for being dependent on a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2675

9. *Claims 9-18* are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimamoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,147,672).

With reference to the claims, there is taught a driving method of a liquid crystal display characterized in that when red, green, and blue color display data composed of plural bits (see column 5, lines 46-49) are transferred from a display timing circuit to a TFT drive circuit for driving a TFT liquid crystal panel to display (see column 6, lines 32-37). There is also taught a first control circuit for outputting the data of the group represented by certain data to the signal line when the coincidence of polarity has been detected by the detector circuit; and a second control circuit for outputting the data of the group restored from the certain data of the signal line to the drive circuit when the coincidence of polarity of bit has been detected by the detector circuit (see column 6, line 56-column 7, line 26).

Shimamoto fails to specifically teach that the transfer is performed with a time lag little by little for each bit unit formed of plural bits optionally selected from each of the color display data. However it is taught that it is necessary to slow down the transfer of display data (see column 1, lines 35-49).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a reduce the transfer time of the display data to the display drivers to thereby provide a more effective countermeasure to electromagnetic interference.

Art Unit: 2675

Conclusion

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 2023; or faxed to: (703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only). Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alecia D. Nelson whose telephone number is (703)305-0143.

If attempts to reach the above examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras, can be reached at (703)305-9720.

adn/ADN
September 30, 2001



DENNIS-DOON CHOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER